

**ENTERED**

June 20, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISIONTYRA CAMPOS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILLIAM SHEPHERD, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00040

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION**


Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 32). The M&R recommends that the Court grant Defendant Gwenyth Shepherd's motion for summary judgment and dismiss Plaintiffs' negligent entrustment claim against her. *Id.* at 12.

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, L.P.*, No. 4:14-CV-02700, 2015 WL 3823141, at \*1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015) (Harmon, J.) (citation omitted).

Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 32). Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant Gwenyth Shepherd's motion for summary judgment, (D.E. 19), and

**DISMISSES** Plaintiffs' negligent entrustment claim against Defendant Gwenyth Shepherd,  
(D.E. 1-3, p. 3).

SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DAVID S. MORALES  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Signed: Corpus Christi, Texas  
June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2025